

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:	§	Examiner:	
KELLEY, Sam J. et al.	§	CHIUI, Raleigh W.	
	§		
Serial No.: 10/820,617	§	Art Unit:	3711
	§		
Filing Date: 04/08/2004	§	Final Office Action Mailing Date:	
	§	06/14/2007	
For: Ball Pitching Game	§	Interview Date:	
	§	09/11/2007	

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant submits this paper regarding the telephone interview between Applicant's representative, Keith E. Taber, and the Examiner, Raleigh W. Chiu, on September 11, 2007. A response to the Final Office Action dated June 14, 2007 was filed on September 11, 2007. Pursuant to MPEP Section 713.04, Applicant submits the following statements to be made of record in the above-referenced patent application.

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Keith E. Taber, attorney for Applicant, and Raleigh W. Chiu held a telephone interview on September 11, 2007, upon Applicant's request. Mr. Taber reminded Mr. Chiu of a previous telephone discussion between the in which Mr. Chiu had indicated claim 6 contained allowable subject matter. Mr. Taber explained that the current amendment presents claim 6 (as indicated to contain allowable subject matter at two stages in the review process) in two new independent claims. Mr. Chiu agreed he understood amendment, and would review it, with the only concern being that the two independent claims could possibly be too similar. Mr. Chiu indicated he would contact Mr. Taber if he finds any problems with the amendment that can be solved by an Examiner's Amendment.